# Distribution of Fusarium Wilt of Chickpea and its Association with Biophysical Factors in East Shewa Zone, Central Ethiopia

Edeo Negash

EIAR, Melkassa Agricultural Research Centre, P.O Box 436, Adama; E-mail: edeonegash@gmail.com

## Abstract

Chickpea fusarium wilt (CFW) caused by Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. ciceris has become a great threat to chickpea production in Ethiopia. A field survey was conducted to determine the distribution and association of CFW with biophysical factors in the Central Rift Valley of Ethiopia in the 2019/20 main cropping season. The associations of CFW incidence with independent variables were analyzed using a logistic regression model. A total of 68 fields were assessed in four districts and results revealed that all the surveyed fields were infected with CFW. However, substantial variations were observed in CFW incidence across the surveyed districts. The mean incidence varied from 31.79% in Lume to 55.81% in the Gumbichu district. Variables like district, crop growth stage, variety, previous crop history, seed source, crop density, and weed density had a very highly significant (P<0.0001) association with disease incidence in reduced multiple variable models. Associations between disease parameters and chickpea variety were also observed to be significant in the reduced model. Results from this study showed a 100% prevalence of CFW in the study area, implying the need for proper intervention. Emphasis on proper agronomic practices such as the use of improved seeds, appropriate sowing date, cropping pattern, and weed management should be given in the integrated management of CFW in the study area and other related agroecologies.

Keywords: Biophysical factors, disease incidence, disease severity

## Introduction

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L) is a major grain legume crop in Africa, particularly in Ethiopia, where it is widely produced as a rotational crop as well as a source of cash for farmers and foreign currency in the highland and semi-highland regions of the country (Asfaw, 1993). In Ethiopia, it is the second most important coolseason legume crop after the faba bean (Diapari et al., 2014). According to the CSA (2019) and FAOSTAT (2019)

reports, Ethiopia is the leading chickpea producer in Africa. producing more than 500,000 metric tons per year from an area of about 243,000 ha of small-holder farms, which account for over 90% of grain in sub-Sahara Africa production (Verkaart et al., 2017). Despite its huge importance and area coverage, the productivity of this crop is estimated at 2.016 tons ha<sup>-1</sup> (CSA, 2020), which is far below the potential of the crop which is 5 tonnes  $ha^{-1}$ (Verkaart et al., 2017). Both abiotic

Pest Mgt. J. Eth. Vol. 24 (1): 68–81 (2021) https://doi.org/10.20372/pmjoe.2021.v24.n1.a5 and biotic factors contribute to the low productivity of chickpeas in Ethiopia.

Crop damage caused by disease pathogens is among the major yieldlimiting factors under rainfed vertisol cropping systems (Lijalem et al., 2020). Fusarium wilt (FW) caused by Fusarium oxysporum and Ascochyta blight (AB) caused by Ascochyta rabiei are the major limiting factors in production in chickpea Ethiopia (Yimer et al., 2018). The average yield losses due to these diseases have been estimated from 10 to 90% and sometimes escalate to 100% when the relative humidity is greater than 60% and the temperature ranges between 10 and 25°C (Navas-Cortes et al., 2000). Cultural management methods such as crop rotation, and ridge and furrow planting are suggested as the best management option (Tebkew and Ojiewo, 2016) against Chickpea fusarium wilt (CFW) in Ethiopia. cultural management However. options alone are not sufficient as rootinhibiting pathogens are known to persist in the soil for several years (Sultan et al., 2018).

The use of resistant varieties is also suggested to be a feasible approach to managing the CFW disease (Bereket and Habtamu, 2021). The problem with the use of resistant varieties, however. is that the resistance mechanism is not stable, due to the introduction of new pathotypes/isolates (Choudhary AK and Kumar S, 2018). The deployment of resistant varieties for the control of plant diseases requires an

understanding of environmental, cultural, and epidemiological factors (Singh et al., 2007). Thus, the available disease control options as a sole disease management practice may provide some level of control, but may not be adequate because of the nature of the pathogen as Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. ciceris is a vascular pathogen that persists in seed and soil for long period (Jiménez-Fernández et al., 2011). Several researchers have recently reported the need to rely on an integrated management approach to mitigate damage caused by fusarium wilt (Chudasama and Pithia, 2018; Dagnachew et al., 2021). This entails an understanding of the disease's epidemiology.

Many factors were reported to influence root-rot disease epidemics, among which the major ones are production system and environmental variables such as high soil moisture, low nutrient supply, wet soil physical conditions, agronomic practices, and occurrence of other diseases and pests (Fininsa and Yuen, 2001: Woldeabe et al., 2007). Monitoring the effects of diversified environmental factors and cropping practices on crop diseases and production is an important strategic approach to determining the status and dynamics of plant disease as it can influence disease occurrence, epidemic development, and damage to crops (Fininsa and Yuen 2001; Samuel et al., 2008). However, information on the occurrence of CFW and the effect of field cropping systems. practices, management and environmental variables on the disease

was scanty. Therefore, the current study was initiated to assess the distribution and relative importance of CFW (*Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *ciceris*) in major chickpea growing areas of east Shewa zone in the CRV and to determine the association of CFW intensity with biophysical factors.

#### **Materials and Methods**

# Description of surveyed areas

The survey was conducted in four chickpea-growing major districts (Adea, Lume, Bora, and Gumbichu) of the east Shewa Zone in the CRV. The located study area is between 08º20'76''to 09º00'29°N latitude and 038°47.91° to 039.12'49"'E longitude with elevation ranging from 700 to 2557 meters above sea level (m.a.s.l.) (Fig. 1).



Figure 1. Map depicting areas surveyed for CFW in 2019/20 cropping season.

## Sampling and disease

#### assessments

The survey was conducted between September to November 2019 during the main chickpea-growing season across four representative chickpeagrowing districts (Adea, Bora, Lume, Gimbichu) and to assess the distribution, relative importance, and intensity of CFW, and to determine the associations of the disease epidemics with biophysical factors. The survey districts were selected purposively based on the chickpea production potential and disease problems. Selection of Farmers. A total of 14 FAs' selected randomly were assessed from the entire districts. Chickpea fields in each FA along the main and accessible roads were sampled at 2 to intervals. The 5 Km disease assessment was made by randomly placing five quadrats each measuring one square meter in an 'X fashion. Chickpea plants with typical fusarium wilt symptoms were uprooted from five different spots of each field and composited as described by Vikram et al. (2017). A composite sample was formed for each assessed field by diseased plant samples mixing collected from each sampling spot. The plants within the quadrat were counted and recorded as diseased or infected, and healthy or non-infected based on visual symptoms thev showed for Fusarium wilt. Furthermore, symptomatic plants from each field were collected for additional laboratory testing to confirm the pathogens identify. The mean plant and weed population densities were

also estimated by averaging the number of populations in the four quadrats for analysis. Disease prevalence was determined by the ratio of the number of fields showing this disease to the total number of fields. The field incidence of each field was calculated as the proportion of plants showing wilt symptoms out of the total plants per quadrat.

Diseases Incidence 
$$= \frac{\text{Number of plan}}{\text{Total nu}}$$

During the survey, additional information, such as previous field history, variety, cropping systems, planting date, weeding practices, crop and weed density, fertilizer usage, and seed source was recorded to estimate their relationship with the observed disease incidence.

#### **Data analyses**

Disease incidence was classified into distinct groups of binomial qualitative data (Chemeda and Yuen, 2001: Misganaw et al., 2019). Quantitative data on incidence were categorized in qualitative data form for logistic regression analysis according to Habtu et al (1996). Accordingly, contingency tables of the disease incidence and independent variables were built to represent the bivariate distribution of the fields (Table 1). The binary outcome was the probability that fusarium wilt incidence exceeds 44% in a given chickpea field. Mean percent disease incidence was grouped into class boundaries (Fininsa and Yuen. 2001) to analyze the

relationship between CFW and biophysical factors (Table 1).

If the predicted probability of the outcome is denoted as (P), the logistic regression model assumes the logarithm of the odds of P (P/(1-P)), which equals logit (P), and is a linear function of the independent variables (Yuen et al., 1996). In this case, the importance of the risk factors under study (Table 1) was evaluated in two ways: first, the association of fusarium wilt disease incidence with independent variables was tested in a single-variable model. Second, those independent variables with a high association with fusarium wilt incidence were added to reduce multiple variable models (Yuen. 2006). A complete analysis of the deviance table was created for the final reduced multiple models, where deviance reduction was calculated for each factor as it was added to the reduced model. Exponentiation of the parameter estimates of each variable class results in the odds ratio, which is interpreted as the relative risk that the higher the odds ratio of a variable the higher the disease infestation (Yuen et al., 1996). The fitness of the models was tested based on the magnitude of deviance and Pearson the  $\chi^2$  value from the table of criteria for assessing goodness of fit (Yuen, 2006). The difference between the two models, a likelihood ratio test (LRT) was used to examine the importance of variables and was tested against a  $\chi^2$  value (McCullagh and Nelder, 1989), using logistic regression as described by McCullagh and Nelder (1989) and

Chemeda and Yuen (2001) with the SAS procedure GENMOND (SAS, 2008).

## Results

#### **Overview of surveyed fields**

A total of 68 chickpea fields were assessed in the surveyed districts. All the surveyed chickpea fields were with fusarium infected wilt corresponding to a 100% disease prevalence. It was observed that none of the chickpea fields were irrigated; the crop was cultivated under residual soil moisture or rainfall during the growing season. All the fields were sown by broadcasting methods. Different growth stages of chickpeas were encountered during the survey in the different fields of each district. About 65% of the farms were at the flowering and pod-filling growth stages. About half of the fields were sown in August, while the remaining farms were sown in early September. Fertilizer was applied only on 11.8% of the farms. Fields with poor weed management showed the highest incidence of CFW. Farmer-to-farmer seed exchange was the major seed source accounting for 31% followed by own saved and local market each accounting for 25 %. Seeds from the research center accounted for the rest 19 %. Twenty-two (32.33%) chickpea fields were sown to local chickpea varieties. The most common improved chickpea varieties grown in these areas were Habru, Arerti, Shasho and Dubei.

#### Chickpea fusarium wilt prevalence and incidence

Chickpea fusarium wilt was prevalent in all chickpea fields assessed. However, incidence of CFW varied for all the variables considered (district, altitude, variety, crop growth stage and agronomic practice) (Table 2). The incidence highest mean disease (55.81%)recorded from was Gumbichu district while the lowest (31.79%)from Lume district. Regarding the crop growth stage, the highest incidence (48.65%) was recorded at the maturity growth stage and the lowest (32.33%) at seedling. Fields above 2000 m.a.s.l. showed a high (52.37%) mean disease incidence compared to fields located between 1500-2000 m.a.s.l. with a mean disease incidence of 36.49%. August planted chickpea showed highest mean CFW (48.63%) and early September planted showed the lowest incidence (39.53%). Incidence of CFW was higher (47.53%) in weedy fields than weed-free fields (39.53%). Similarly, chickpea fields with seed source from the market, preceded by chickpea, higher plant and weed density showed a higher CFW incidence than fields with seeds from own saved, preceded by other crops, and lower plant and weed density (Table 2).

Variable	Variable Class	No of field	CFW Incidence (%)		Variable	Variable Class	No of field		CFW Incidence (%)	
			≤44	>44	_			≤44	>44	
Districts	Gumbichu	20	5	15	Preceding crop	Chickpea	7	4	3	
	Bora	8	2	6		Lentil	3	2	1	
	Adea	20	15	5		Field pea	4	2	2	
	Lume	20	11	9	_	Haricot bean	2	1	1	
	Seedling	4	3	1		Fababen	4	2	2	
	Vegetative	2	2	0		Wheat	15	6	9	
Growth stage	Flowering	10	6	4		Maize	5	1	4	
	Flower/podding	44	20	24		Barley	17	6	11	
	Full podding	8	2	6		Tef	11	9	2	
Altitudo	1500-2000	42	24	18		Market	17	6	11	
Annuae	>2000	26	9	17	Cood course	Farmers	21	10	11	
Districts Gr Additional Sectors Growth stage File Altitude 15 Altitude Additional Sectors Planting date Additional Sectors Weeding Net Practice Yee Chickpea Loc cultivar Im	August	35	13	22	- Seed source	Own saved	17	9	8	
	September	33	20	13		Research center	13	8	5	
Weeding	No	36	14	22	Cron density	≥33 plants m <sup>-2</sup>	26	12	14	
practice	Yes	32	19	13	Crop density	<33 plants m <sup>-2</sup>	42	21	21	
Fertilizer	No	60	32	28	Wood donaity	<30 weeds m <sup>-2</sup>	48	22	26	
Practice	Yes	8	1	7	weed density	≥30 weeds m <sup>-2</sup>	20	11	9	
Chickpea	Local	22	6	16	_					
cultivar	Improved	46	27	19						

Table 1. Categorization of variables used in analysis for CFW distribution in four districts (n = 68) of east Shewa zone of CRV

Variable	Variable Class	Incidence (%)	Variable	Variable Class	Incidence (%)
District	Gumbichu	55.81±15.98	Chickpea cultivar	Local	49.86±14.78
	Bora	44.32±6.91		Improved	40.91±18.01
	Adea	36.46±11.35	Previous crop history	Chickpea	53.74±17.85
	Lume	31.79±13.17		Lentil	49.62±21.74
Growth stage	Seedling	32.33±20.75		Field pea	49.80±18.01
-	Vegetative	33.19±1.03		Haricot bean	53.17±14.25
	Flowering	38.25±14.50		Fababean	46.12±21.53
	Flower/podding	45.70±18.11		Wheat	41.61±15.68
	Full podding	48.65±18.91		Maize	37.03±11.99
Altitude	1500-2000	36.49±12.51		Barley	45.20±16.50
	>2000	52.37±19.53		Tef	35.06±13.41
Planting date	August	48.63±17.98	Seed source	Market	49.88±18.36
-	September	39.53±16.85		Farmers	40.06±15.87
Weeding practice	No	47.53±16.77		Own saved	43.50±15.78
	Yes	39.61±17.76		Research center	42.29±19.19
Fertilizer practice	No	42.48±17.70	Crop density	≤33 /m²	43.40±16.54
	Yes	53.72±15.32		>33 /m²	44.45±17.81
Weed density	≤30 /m²	43.04±18.64			
·	>30 /m <sup>2</sup>	45.83±14.81			

### Association of chickpea fusarium wilt with biophysical factors

Among the biophysical factors. district ( $\chi^2$  =279.85, df=3), crop growth stage ( $\chi^2$  =41.27, df =4), fertilizer practice ( $\chi^2$  =18.79, df=1), previous crop history ( $\gamma^2 = 95.26$ , df=8), seed source ( $\chi^2$  =21.66, df=3), crop density  $\chi^2 = 15.94$ , df =1), and weed density ( $\chi^2$  =20.20, df =1) showed highly significant (P < 0.0001) associations with disease incidence in the logistic model as a single variable (Table 3). However, fertilizer and practices weeding lost their significance when entered last into the model (Table 3).

All significantly associated independent variables were tested in

reduced multiple variable models with CFW incidence as the dependent variable. The deviation analysis of these variables in reduced multiple variable models showed different levels of importance of their association with chickpea **CFW** incidence (Table 4). The probability of CFW incidence of >44% was highly with district, altitude. associated growth stage. chickpea variety. preceding crop, and source of planting materials. Accordingly, the probability of occurrence of high (>44%) CFW incidence in Gumbichu district was 3.64, 3.76 and 4.51 times higher than Bora, Adea, and Lume districts, respectively (Table 4). Probability of CFW incidence was higher in local variety, full podding growth stage, and chickpeas as preceding crop, than in their references (Table 4).

Table 3. Logistic regression model for CFW incidence and likelihood ratio test on independent variables in east Shewa of CRV, 2019 main cropping season.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		CFW Incidence LRT <sup>a</sup>					
Independent variable	DF	Тур	e 1 analysis	Type 3 analysis			
		DR	Pr>χ²	DR	Pr>χ²		
District	3	279.85	<.0001	135.46	<.0001		
Altitude	1	14.08	0.0002	4.3	0.0382		
Planting date	1	11.92	0.0006	3.56	0.0592		
Growth stage	4	41.27	<.0001	32.67	<.0001		
Fertilizer practice	1	18.79	<.0001	0.03	0.8587		
Weeding practice	1	7.72	0.0055	0.77	0.3817		
Variety	1	11.04	0.0009	51.55	<.0001		
Previous crop history	8	95.26	<.0001	105.95	<.0001		
Crop density	1	15.94	<.0001	26.25	<.0001		
Weed density	1	20.2	<.0001	15.77	<.0001		
Seed source	3	21.66	<.0001	21.66	<.0001		

<sup>a</sup>LRT = likelihood ratio test; CFW= chickpea fusarium wilt; DF = Degrees of freedom; DR Deviance reduction; Pr = Probability of a value  $\chi^2$  exceeding the deviance reduction; Type 1 analysis = variable entered first; Type 3 analysis = variable entered last.

Variable	Residual deviance <sup>a</sup>	Df	CFW incidence LRT <sup>b</sup>		Variable	Estimate Log₀ (odds ratio)	SE⁰	Odds
			DR	Pr>χ2	01035	(0003 1010)		Tallo
District	684.3275	3	129.59	<.0001	Gumbichu	1.51	0.13	4.51
			3.33	0.0682	Bora	0.21	0.12	1.24
			2.94	0.0863	Adea	0.19	0.11	1.20
					Lume	0*	0	1.00
Altitude	670.2475	1	4.28	0.0386	1500-2000	0.26	0.13	1.30
					>2000 m.a.s.l.	0*	0	1.00
Growth stage	617.0609	4	14.89	0.0001	Full podding	0.67	0.17	1.95
0			0.24	0.6206	Flower+pod	0.07	0.14	1.07
			3.72	0.0537	Flowering	0.31	0.16	1.37
			0.41	0.5241	Vegetative	0.14	0.22	1.15
					Seedling	0.00	0.00	1.00
Variety	579.5117		0.60	0.08	Local	51.18	0001	1.82
					Improved	0*	0	1
Previous crop	484.2561	8	1.23	0.13	Chickpea	90.3	<.0001	3.43
history			0.19	0.16	Lentil	1.41	0.2357	1.21
			0.49	0.15	Field pea	9.92	0.0016	1.62
			0.77	0.19	Haricot bean	17.15	<.0001	2.15
			0.51	0.15	Fababean	12.15	0.0005	1.66
			0.50	0.11	Wheat	22.02	<.0001	1.65
			0.29	0.14	Maize	4.3	0.038	1.33
			0.48	0.10	Barley	24.23	<.0001	1.61
					Tef	0*	0	1
Seed source	426.448	3	-0.50	0.11	Farmer	19.37	<.0001	0.61
			-0.13	0.10	Market	1.66	0.1974	0.88
			-0.24	0.09	Own saved	6.69	0.0097	0.79
					Research center	0*	0	1
Crop density	468.3113	1	-0.35	0.07	<33/m <sup>2</sup>	25.96	<.0001	0.70
					>33 /m <sup>2</sup>	0*	0	1
Weed density	448.1075	1	0.29	0.07	<30/ m <sup>2</sup>	15.66	<.0001	1.34
					>30/ m <sup>2</sup>	0*	0	1

Table 4. Analysis of deviance, natural logarithms of odds ratio and standard error of CFW incidence (%) and likelihood ratio test on independent variables in the reduced regression model in East Shewa Central Ethiopia, during 2019 main cropping season.

a Residual deviance = unexplained variation after fitting model; b Likelihood ratio test; DR = deviance reduction; df = degrees of freedom; Pr = probability of χ<sup>2</sup> value exceeding the deviance reduction; cSE = standard Error; . \* = reference group

## Discussion

Chickpea fusarium wilt was present in all chickpea growing fields with varying degree of incidence ranging from 31.8 to 55.8%, depening on location, altitude, sowing date, crop growth stage, variety, fertilizer use, weeding practice, type of preceding crop, seed source as well as weed, and crop density. The high incidence observed in Gimbichu might be associated with the poor cultural adopted by smallholder practices farmers in the area including poor weed management and unreliable seed source which is predominantly 'farmer to farmer seed exchange (Njingulula et al., 2014; Kijana et al., 2017). The stage of the crop might have also contributed to the high level of CFW incidence in Gimbichu area as most of the fields (60%) were at podding stage during the survey (Zemouli-Benfreha et al., 2014). A higher CFW incidence was observed mostly on fields sown to local cultivars than on fields sown to improved varieties which is in agreement with the findings of Raju et al. (2013). Similarly, presence and density of weeds affect the level of incidence. It was observed from this study that incidence of CFW was 16.6% lower in weeded field compared to unweeded fields. This is in agreement with previous reports of Sultan et al. (2018) who reported a higher level of CFW incidence in unweeded field than in weeded in central and northern highlands of Ethiopia. A weakened plant due to weed infestation becomes less

vigorous and more susceptible to plant pathogens (Sultan et al., 2018: Misganaw et al., 2019). The full podding crop growth stage exhibited higher level of CFW incidence than earlier stages such as seedling, vegetative and flowering as reported by Zemouli-Benfreha et al. (2014). This increment in incidence at the reproductive stage could be due to increased temperature (Chaudhry et al., 2007; Darvishnia et al., 2014; Ddamulira et al., 2014; Zemouli-Benfreha et al., 2014: and Asfaw, 2018; Daniel and Tilahun, 2020; Bereket and Habtamu, 2021).

The observed high level of CFW incidence in altitude above 2000 m.a. s, l. in this study is unclear and differed with reports of several researchers (Daniel and Tilahun, 2020; Bereket and Habtamu, 2021) who reported a decrease in incidence and prevalence of the disease with increasing altitude. They explained the higher incidence observed in low elevations by climatic warmer conditions and low soil moisture favoring the disease. Altitudinal based categorization of the incidence of the disease may be misleading as other agronomic and ecological factors could affect the development of the necessitating disease further investigation.

The type of preceding crop was strongly associated with the epidemics of chickpea fusarium wilt. Fields that had previously been planted with legumes had a greater CFW incidence

than fields planted with non-legumes. This could be because the farmers in the surveyed area grow chickpeas in rotation with various cereal and legume crops, and the previous crop residue appears to be a source of inocula for the following cropping seasons. Previous studies also reported a lower incidence of CFW when legumes were rotated with nonlegumes compared to legumes planted after legumes (Srinivas 2016: Misgana, 2017; Dagnachew et al., 2021). The presence of CFW in all the surveyed districts indicates the potential threat that this disease poses production chickpea unless to sustainable management strategies are not put in place.

# Conclusion and Recommendation

This study showed a chickpea fusarium wilt was present in all farms surveyed in east Shewa zone of central Ethiopia. In general chickpea fields sown early, weeded, less dense and preceded with cereals suffered less damage from CFW.

The findings of the present study recognized the need to carry out proper weeding practices, maintaining optimum crop density, and crop rotation with non-host (especially cereal) to minimize CFW incidence and impact on chickpea. However, the relationship of seed source and altitudinal range to CFW needs further investigation. Likewise, a detailed

analysis of the factors responsible for high CFW incidence especially in district needs Gimbichu further studies. The findings of the present study showed the need to carry out proper weeding practices, maintain optimum crop density, and crop rotation with non-host (especially cereal) to minimize CFW incidence and impact on chickpea. This study highlighted factors that are most frequently associated with this disease and provided а framework for integrated CFW management to improve the production and productivity of chickpea. However comprehensive survevs field are required to generate conclusive information about the association of biophysical factors with CFW disease intensity..

## **Acknowledgments**

The author would like to thank Drs. Girma Demissie and Mohammed Yesuf of EIAR for their technical support. EIAR financed the study.

## References

- Bereket A., Habtamu T. 2021. Spatial distribution and characterization of Fusarium wilt (*Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. ciceris) of chickpea in Northern Shoa, Ethiopia. Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection, DOI:10.1080/03235408.2021.1929689.
- Chaudhry M., Ilyas M., Muhammad F., Ghazanfar U. 2007. Sources of resistance in chickpea germplasm against fusarium wilt. *Mycopathology*, 5(1):17– 21.

- Chudasama M.K, and Pithia M.S. 2018. Integrated management of wilt disease in chickpea. International *Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 7(2): 951-956.
- Chemeda F. and Yuen J. 2001. Association of maize rust and leaf blight epidemics with cropping systems in Hararghe Highlands, Eastern Ethiopia. *Crop Protection*, 20: 669–678.
- CSA (Central Statistical Agency). 2019. Agricultural sample survey. Report on area and production of major crops (private peasant holdings, meher season). Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- CSA (Central Statistical Agency). 2020. Agricultural sample survey. Report on area and production of major crops (private peasant holdings, meher season). Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Dagnachew B., Kassahun T. Asnake F. and Douglas R.C. 2021. The extent and association of chickpea Fusarium wilt and root rot disease pressure with major biophysical factors in Ethiopia. *Journal* of *Plant Pathology*, 103 (2): 409-419. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s42161-021-</u> 00779-4. Accepted on 12 February 2021.
- Daniel A. and Tilahun N. 2020. Spatial Distribution and association of chickpea qilt/root rots epidemics with biophysical factors at West Shewa, Oromia Regional State, Ethiopia. *Journal of Plant Pathology Microbiology*, 11(9): 513.
- Darvishnia M., Mirzapour S., Bazgir E. and Goodarzi D. 2014. Identification of resistant sources in chickpea against Fusarium wilt under greenhouse condition. *International Journal of Farm Allied Science*, 3: 772-776.
- Ddamulira G., Mukankusi C., Ochwo-Ssemakula M., Edema R., Sseruwagi P. and Gepts P. 2014. Distribution and variability of *Pseudocercospora griseola* in Uganda. *Journal of Agricicultural Science*, 6(6): 22–25.
- Diapari M., Sindhu A., Bett K., Deokari A., Warkentin et al. 2014. Genetic diversity and association mapping of iron and zinc concentration in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L). *Genome*, 57: 459-468.

- Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations (FAOSTAT). 2019. [Online] Available at. <u>http://www.fao.org/3/ca7239en/ca7239e</u> <u>n.pdf.</u>
- Habtu A., Sachet I. and Zadoks C.J. 1996. A survey of cropping practices and foliar diseases of common beans in Ethiopia. *Crop protection*, 15(2): 179-186.
- Jiménez-Fernández D., Montes-Borrego M., Jiménez-Díaz R., Navas-Cortés J. and Landa B. 2011. In planta and soil quantification of *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *ciceris* and evaluation of Fusarium wilt resistance in chickpea with a newly developed quantitative polymerase chain reaction assay. *Phytopathology*, 101(2): 250–262.
- Kijana R., Abang M., Edema R., Mukankusi C. and Buruchara R. 2017. Prevalence of angular leaf spot disease and sources of resistance in common bean in Eastern Democratic Republic of Congo. *African Crop Science Journal*, 25(1): 109-122.
- McCullagh Pa and Nelder A. 1989. Generalized linear models, 2nd ed. Chapman and Hall, London, p511.
- Misganaw A., Habtamu T. and Getachew A. 2019. Distribution and association of common bean angular leaf spot (*Phaeoisariopsis griseola*) with biophysical factors in Southern and Southwestern Ethiopia. *East African Journal of Sciences*, 13 (1): 51-64.
- Navas-Cortés J., Hau B. and Jiménez-Díaz M. 2000. Yield loss in chickpeas in relation to development of Fusarium wilt epidemics. *Journal of Phytopathology*, 90(11): 1269–1278.
- Njingulula P., Wimba M., Musakamba M., Masukim K.F., Katafire M., Ugen M. and Birachi E. 2014. Strengthening local seed systems within the bean value chain experience of agricultural innovation platforms in the democratic republic of Congo. *African Crop Science Journal*, 22: 1003-1012.
- Raju G, Mamta S, Rameshwar T, Suresh P. 2013. Occurrence and distribution of chickpea diseases in central and southern

parts of India. *American Journal of Plant Sciences*, 4: 940-944.

- Samuel S., Seid A., Chemeda F., Mathew A. and Parshotam S. 2008. Survey of chocolate spot (*Botrytis fabae*) disease of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) and assessment of factors influencing disease epidemics in northern Ethiopia. International Center of Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas, 27: 1457-1463.
- Singh G., Chen W., Rubiales D., Moore K., Sharma Y.R. and Gan Y. 2007. Diseases and their management. pp. 497–519. In Yadav, Redden, Chen and Sharma (eds.), Chickpea Breeding and Management. CAB International.
- Srinivas P. 2016. Studies on dry root rot [*Rhizoctonia bataticola* (Taub.) Butler] of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). PhD dissertation. Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University. 198pp
- Sultan M., Seid A., Chemeda F., Negussie T., Aladdin H. and Douglas, R.C. 2018. Distribution and factors influencing chickpea wilt and root rot epidemics in Ethiopia. *Crop Protection*, 106:150–155.
- Tebkew D. and Ojiewo C.O. 2016. Current status of wilt/root rot diseases in major

chickpea growing areas of Ethiopia. Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection, 4(9-10): 222–238

- Verkaart S., Munyua G., Mausch K. and Michle D. 2017. Welfare impacts of improved chickpea adoption: a pathway for rural development in Ethiopia. *Food Policy*, 66: 50–61.
- Woldeabe G., Yuen J., Chemeda F. and Singh H. 2007. Barley leaf rust (*Puccinia hordei*) in three production systems and practices in Ethiopia. Crop protection, doi:10.1111/j1365–3059.2007.01357.
- Yuen J. 2006. Deriving decision rules. Department of Forest Mycology and Pathology. Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences.
- Yuen J., Twengstrom E. and Sigvald R. 1996. Calibration and verification of risk algorithms using logistic regression. *European Journal of Plant Pathology*, 102: 847-854.
- Zemouli-Benfreha F., Djamel-eddine H. and Merzoug A. 2014. Fusarium wilt of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in North-West Algeria. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 9: 168-175.