An Integrated Approach to the Control of Striga on Sorghum

Fasil Reda, Gebremedhin Woldewahid, Beyenesh Zemichael

Institute of Agricultural Research P.O. Box 2003, Addis Ababa

Wondimu Bayou Amhara Region Bureau of Agriculture Sirinka Research Center

Abstract

Two experiments on intercropping and one on integrated management of Striga hermonthica (Del.) Benth were carried out at three sites in northern Ethiopia. In the intercropping experiment soybean, cowpea and haricot bean were tested in different spatial and temporal arrangements with sorghum. The integrated management experiment consisted of various combinations of resistant cultivars, planting methods, fertilizer application, and manual weeding or herbicide (2,4-D) application in comparison with the farmers' practices. Intercropping was generally effective in suppressing Striga population. Striga shoot counts in intra-row intercropped sorghum with cowpea registered 2 percent of the check but this treatment also reduced sorghum yield significantly. On the other hand, the planting arrangement involving one row of legume intercrop for every two rows of sorghum resulted in significant suppression of Striga without significant negative effect on sorghum yield. The full package of Striga control practices involving resistant cultivars, row planting, fertilizer (42 kg N ha-1), with supplementary hand pulling at Strinka and 2,4-D (1 l ha-1) at Adi-Bakel resulted in about 70 and 63 percent reduction of Striga shoot counts, respectively, compared with the check plots. The intercropping experiment revealed that its effects were site-specific. Results of our experiments suggest that a full package of recommended management practices be employed for effective suppression of Striga and increased yield of sorghum.

Introduction

The parasitic weed Striga hermonthica is one of the most important production constraints of cereals in Ethiopia. Ejeta et al. (1993) estimated that it causes 65-70% yield loss in the sorghum producing areas of this country. Heavy losses occur mainly in the highly degraded dryland areas of northern and south-eastern parts of the country. Striga has also become a very serious threat to large scale cereal production in the high potential western regions of Ethiopia, discouraging investment in agriculture in those areas. The Striga problem is usually complicated by other interacting factors such as aberrant weather conditions and low soil fertility. Devising a simple solution for the problem has become a real under such conditions challenge.

Intercropping has, in many instances, shown promise as a low cost method of controlling

Striga. Carson (1989) observed that the density of emerged Striga plants was reduced when sorghum was intercropped with groundnut in the Gambia. Intercropping sorghum with Lablab purpureus suppressed Striga emergence and growth, and increased number of heads and straw yield of sorghum in the Sudan (Babiker et al. 1993). Similar results were obtained in northern Cameroon where sorghum and cowpea association produced the best yield of sorghum and greater reduction of Striga (Carsky et al. 1994).

The effect of soil nitrogen on Striga is still controversial. Some studies have shown that high N levels in the soil enhanced growth of both the host and the parasite (Parker 1984). However, Farina et al. (1985) reported that NPK fertilizer application resulted in 93% reduction in the incidence of Striga asiatica. Other workers showed that Striga asiatica infestation and sorghum shoot dry matter losses

decreased with an increase in soil N (Raju et al. 1990). Urea fertilizer was necessary to bring about effective control of *Striga hermonthica* and increased yield of sorghum with application of herbicides (Babiker et al. 1993).

There are a range of recommended control measures but, available evidence suggests that effective control of *Striga* can only be achieved through the implementation of an integrated approach. This paper describes investigations carried out on intercropping and integrated control methods in an effort to develop sustainable *Striga* management options.

Materials and Methods

Intercropping

The experiment was carried out at three dryland at Sirinka, Adibakel and Sheraro in northern Ethiopia. Soybean, cowpea and haricot bean were intercropped in three different spatial arrangements, simultaneous planting sorghum, at Sirinka. In the following season, treatments were modified to include planting methods less suppressive to sorghum. Legume intercrops were planted in four different spatial and temporal arrangements. One hundred percent seed rate of sorghum and 50% of legumes were maintained across treatments. Sole sorghum with and without fertilizer was included for comparison.

The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) in a factorial arrangement with three replicates at Sirinka the first season and two replications at the other sites in the second year. The trial was maintained free of other weeds by repeated hand weeding.

Integrated Control

In 1993, at Sirinka two improved varieties ('Birmash' and 'SRN-39') were compared with a local cultivar under five management practices. In 1994, at Adibakel, the trial was modified to replace poor performing 'Birmash' with two other varieties - 'ICSV-1006', 'ICSV-1007'. The experiment was laid out in RCBD, in a split plot arrangement with three and two replications at Sirinka and Adibakel, respectively, with cultivars as main plots and

management practices as sub-plots (size 3.75 m x 5 m). Fertilized plots received 42 kg N ha-1. The herbicide 2,4-D was applied at the rate of 1 l ha-1 (directed spray at the flowering stage of *Striga*). Weeds other than *Striga* were removed by repeated hand-weeding.

Results

Intercropping

Striga infestation was considerably less in intercropped plots compared to the check plots (Tables 1 and 2). In particular cowpea/sorghum association was effective in reducing Striga population both at Sirinka; Striga shoots at the two locations were 2.3 and 42.7% of the control, respectively. At Sheraro, where the environmental conditions are more favorable, there was high response to fertilizer; sole sorghum with fertilizer was the most outstanding treatment both in terms of reduced Striga intensity and increased yield of sorghum. Here, fertilizer application in sole sorghum reduced the parasitic weed population by 79% and increased sorghum yield by 85%. The reduced effect of intercrops on Striga at Adibakel and Sheraro may be due to insect damage that resulted in poor establishment of the legumes.

These results demonstrated that an optimum planting arrangement of intercrops is required to bring about substantial reduction of Striga without significant compromise in sorghum yield. At Sirinka, all three planting arrangements created a highly competitive environment which led to a considerable reduction in sorghum yield, although the loss was partially compensated by the yield of the association crops (Table 1). In the second season, however, the less intimate planting arrangement involving one row of legume for rows two of sorghum, simultaneously, reduced *Striga* intensity by about 54% over the control (Table 2).

Integrated Control

The cultivar 'SRN-39' sustained significantly fewer number of *Striga* shoots than 'Birmash' and the local cultivar at Sirinka (Table 3). At Adibakel, 'ICSV-1006', followed by 'SRN-39' was superior to the local cultivar and 'ICSV-1007' in terms of *Striga* shoot counts (Table 4).

Table 1. Effect of intercropping on Striga counts (shoots per plot) and crop yield (kg ha-¹) at Sirinka, 1993

Treatment	Striga count	Yields	
		Sorghum	Legume
Intercropping (I)			
Sole sorghum Sorghum/soybean Sorghum/cowpea Sorghum/haricot bean	1391 811 32 290	298 4 2164 1326 1509	354 1543 1622
Planting arrangements (A)		
Within row Alternating rows Broadcasting	458 400 275	1641 2066 1292	1265 1010 1244
LSD (0.05) (I) LSD (0.05) (A) LSD (0.05) (I x A) CV (%)	458. NS NS 134	537 465 NS 33	303 NS NS 27

NS=nonsignificant

Table 2. Effect of intercropping on Striga and sorghum yield (kg ha-1), 1994

Intercrop	Striga count (Shoots/plot) AB SH	Gra	Grain yield		Biomass yield	
		AB	SH	AB	SH	
Sole sorghum(+) Sole sorghum(-) Sorghum/soybean Sorghum/cowpea Sorghum/Haricotb. Lsd 0.05 (I)	97 95 63 41 77 29	12 57 47 62 53 10	321 444 360 443 466 NS	2476 1076 1020 1309 1296 NS	5066 5067 4867 5517 5783 845	13000 7000 6167 7417 7083 1689
Planting arrangement						
BC/30 DAS BC/Sim. AR/30 DAS EOR/Sim. Lsd 0.05 (A) Lsd 0.05 (IxA) CV (%)	72 79 45 44 34 59 45	55 55 53 53 NS 20 17	402 474 383 435 NS NS 24	1195 1116 1276 1246 NS NS 28	5600 5889 4800 5267 975 NS 14	7000 5889 7222 7444 NS 3291 22

AB-Adibakel, SH-Sheraro, (+)-with fertilizer, (-)-with out fertilizer, BC-Broadcasting, AR-Alternate row intercropping, EOR-One row of legume every two rows of sorghum, DAS-Days after sowing, Sim.-Simultaneous planting.

These results confirmed that the use of a full package of integrated management methods was beneficial. It can be seen that *Striga* counts were lower in row planted, fertilized, and 2,4-D sprayed sorghum than the rest of the treatments. On the other hand, the highest *Striga* counts

were observed in broadcast sown, unfertilized sorghum plots where the weeds were removed by hand pulling (Table 4). A similar trend was observed in the second season although the differences were not significant.

Despite the high level of Striga infestation the

long season local cultivar ('Degalit') gave the highest yield of 4556 kg ha-¹ at Sirinka (Table 3). This meant a yield increment of 143% and 196% over the improved varieties, 'Birmash' and 'SRN-39', respectively. At Adibakel, in a relatively poor season, 'ICSV-1006' gave 102% higher yield than the short season local cultivar (Table 4).

Management practices influenced sorghum grain yield significantly. At Sirinka, a 40% yield increase was achieved from the package involving row planting, fertilizer, and 2,4-D application (Table 3). At Adibakel, where the yield was generally low perhaps due to low and erratic rainfall, row planting, fertilizer plus hand pulling gave the highest yield of 564 kg ha-1 which was 48% higher than the check (Table 4).

Discussion

Intercropping

Our results confirmed that intercropping, provided it is employed along with an appropriate planting arrangement. can effectively minimize Striga incidence and improve yield per unit area in the dry regions. Although the beneficial effect of the legume intercrops was not so obvious at Adibakel and Sheraro because of heavy insect pest damage, it was shown that intercropping can have a useful merit as an alternative low cost measure for combating Striga in the subsistence agriculture of northern Ethiopia. In the dry areas of the north where the benefit of inorganic fertilizers is so variable and uncertain, intercropping can be used to optimize yield and maitain soil fertility. Intercropping has the additional advantage of making the system more secure in the event of crop failure.

At Adibakel, application of fertilizer showed a negative trend perhaps because of moisture stress. It resulted in increased crop sensitivity to Striga and hence lower yield. On the other hand, there was an impressive high response to fertilizer application, in terms of reduction in Striga intensity and improved crop yield, at Sheraro, where the soil fertility and rainfall conditions were relatively better. These findings are in line with that of Doggett (1984, 1988) who stated that addition of N fertilizers reduced Striga emergence in fertile soils but increased it in infertile soils.

These results also imply that in moisture stress areas *Striga* management aspects other than chemical fertilizer have to be emphasised whereas fertilizer application should be an integral part of any *Striga* management package in areas with adequate rainfall.

Integrated Control

The varieties 'ICSV-1006' and 'SRN-39' consistently showed high level of resistance to Striga. However, the yields from these cultivars were low compared to the dominant, long season farmers' cultivar ('Degalit') at Sirinka. Previous experience shows that these cultivars can have a comparative advantage giving some yield when the local cultivar fails under severe Striga infestation and under moisture stress in a poor season. In the drier areas of Tigray region the improved cultivars showed impressive performance. Striga resistance and yield performance of the cultivars was enhanced with improved management.

The effect of fertilizer on *Striga* and crop yield is usually variable depending on the season and inherent fertility of the soil. However, results of this particular experiment showed that considerable advantage can be gained from the application of fertilizers when there is adequate rainfall. Row planting enhanced the beneficial effects of fertilizer.

Table 3. Effect of improved management practices on Striga and sorghum yield (kg ha-¹) at Sirinka, 1993

Variety	Striga count (Shoots/plot)	Grain Yield (kg/ha)
Local (Degalit) Birmash SRN-39 Lsd 0.05 (V)	1229 1499 157 700	4557 1810 1541 463
Management BC-F+HP BC+F+HP RP+F+HP RP-F+HP RP+F+2,4-D Lsd 0.05 (M) Lsd 0.05 (VxM) CV (%)	1440 1407 426 831 702 NS NS 104	2242 2793 2210 2894 3142 463 NS 23

Table 4. Effect of improved management practices on Striga and sorghum yield (kg ha-¹) at Adibakel, 1994

Variety	Striga count (Shoots/plot)	Grain yield (Kg/ha)	Biomass yield (Kg/ha)
Local LCSV-1006 ICSV-1007 SRN-39 Lsd (V)	262 42 166 80 105	307 621 549 453 162	4793 2440 2527 2840 1149
Managemer BC-F+HP BC+F+HP RP+F+HP RP-F+HP RP+F+2,4-D Lsd 0.05 Lsd 0.05 CV (%)	193 92 141 73	381 532 564 393 541 181 362 35	2767 3042 3483 2642 3817 NS 2569 39

BC-Broadcasting, RP-Rowplanting, (+)-Fertilizer (42 kg N/ha), (-)-without fertilizer, HP-Hand pulling, 2,4-D herbicide (1 l prod./ha)

References

Babiker AGT, Ahmed NE, Mohammed AH, El Mana ME, El Tayeb SM. 1993. Striga hermonthica on sorghum: chemical and cultural control. In Proceedings of the Brighton Crop Protection Conference - Weeds. European Weed Research Congress.

Carsky RJ, Singh L, Ndikawa R. 1994. Suppression of Striga hermonthica on sorghum using a cowpea intercrop. Experimental Agriculture 30:349-358.

Carson AG. 1989. Effect of intercropping sorghum and groundnuts on density of Striga hermonthica in the Gambia. Tropical Pest Management 35:130-132.

Doggett H. 1984. Striga, its biology and control: an overview. pp. 27-36. In Striga Biology and Control,
 Ayensu ES, Doggett H, Keynes RD, Marton-Lefevre J, Musselman LJ, Parker C, Pickering A (eds.).
 International Council of Scientific Unions Press: Paris.

Doggett H. 1988. Witchweed (Striga). pp. 368-404. In: Sorghum (2nd ed.). Longman: Singapore.

Ejeta G, Butler LG, Babiker AGT. 1993. New approaches to the control of *Striga*. Research at Purdue University, Agricultural Experiment Station. Purdue University: Lafayette, Indiana. 27 pp.

Farina MP, Thomas PEL, Chanon P. 1985. Nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium effects on the incidence of *Striga asiatica* (L) Kuntze in maize. Weed Research 25:443-447.

Parker C. 1984. The influence of Striga on sorghum under varying nitrogen fertilization. pp. 90-98. In Proceedings of the third International Symposium on Parasitic Weeds. ICARDA: Aleppo, Syria.

Raju PS, Osman MA, Osman P, Peacock JM. 1990. Effects of N,P and K on Striga asiatica (L.) Kuntze seed germination and infestation of sorghum. Weed Research 30:139-144.